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"No Easy Answers"
"There are no easy answers to
these questions nor is there any conclusive evidence that one grade configuration is better than another.

## The Adolescent Learner

Kelly Gibson, School Psychologist
Dana Iera, School Psychologist

## Adolescent Biological Development

■ Puberty occurs earlier.

- Hormonal changes lead to changes in appearance, self-image, reactions of others, and behavior (Steinberg, 2005).
- In 1910, average age of puberty was at about 12-14 years of age.
■ As of 2008, most children reach puberty by 11 years of age and it appears to start about 4 months earlier every decade. (Combs, 2008)


## Characteristics of Early Adolescents (Combs, 2008)

$\square$ Desire for independence
■ Growth in importance of the peer group
-Sexual, emotional, and social maturation
-Search for values and norms
■Resentment of authority figures

- Fluctuation of emotions

■Development of self-concept

## Keep $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade at Elementary Schools

## Pros

- No change from how it is now
- Opportunities for instructional support including tier time
- Social skill instruction with elementary counselors
- Immature sixth graders have additional year of elementary


## Cons

- Limited rotation options for $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students
- Departmentalization
- Fewer Extracurricular Activities
- Hinders independence (lines, school rules for young students)

■ Quick transition in/out of Jr. High doesn't allow students to identify with school

## Move 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade to Oblock

## Pros

- Greater transition period (3 years vs. 2 years)
- More space at elementary schools
- Better fit developmentally
- Opportunity for specialization for teachers
- $5^{\text {th }}$ graders have greater opportunities for leadership


## Cons

- Requires a change by the district/community
- Some $6^{\text {th }}$ graders may still need elementary environment (social, instructional, etc.)



## Current Enrollment

| As of <br> 9/25/43 | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | Enroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pivik | 95 | 99 | 73 | 75 | 73 | 71 | 70 |  |  | 556 |
| Center | 62 | 49 | 49 | 43 | 69 | 65 | 64 |  |  | 401 |
| Holiday <br> Park | 62 | 69 | 74 | 57 | 70 | 83 | 64 |  |  | 479 |
| Regency | 44 | 25 | 42 | 25 | 22 | 39 | 41 |  |  | 238 |
| Adlai | 49 | 38 | 39 | 47 | 63 | 56 | 59 |  |  | 351 |
| TOTAL <br> ELEM. | 312 | 280 | 277 | 247 | 297 | 314 | 298 |  |  | 2025 |
| Oblock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 306 | 317 | 623 |

Plum Borough School District Forecasts per Grade: 2013-2022 Fertility/Aging/Embedded Growth Scenario with Current Retention and Birth to Kindergarten Ratios and

Current Fertility Levels [Scenario I].

| K | G1 | G2 | G3 | G4 | $\%)$ | G6 | Total <br> K-G6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 278 | 275 | 238 | 295 | 302 | 291 | 294 | 1973 |
| 292 | 278 | 279 | 240 | 298 | 304 | 296 | 1987 |
| 291 | 292 | 282 | 282 | 242 | 299 | 309 | 1997 |
| 264 | 291 | 296 | 285 | 285 | 243 | 304 | 1968 |
| 279 | 264 | 295 | 299 | 288 | 286 | 247 | 1958 |
| 279 | 279 | 268 | 298 | 302 | 289 | 291 | 2006 |
| 279 | 279 | 283 | 271 | 301 | 304 | 294 | 2011 |
| 279 | 279 | 283 | 286 | 273 | 303 | 309 | 2012 |
| 279 | 279 | 283 | 286 | 289 | 274 | 308 | 1998 |
| 279 | 279 | 283 | 286 | 289 | 290 | 278 | 1984 |
| 279 | 279 | 283 | 286 | 289 | 290 | 295 | 2001 |


| G7 | G8 | Total <br> Jr. <br> High | G9 | G10 | G11 | G12 | Total <br> Sr. <br> High | Sr. High <br> Alt. Sch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 322 | 348 | 670 | 326 | 336 | 342 | 321 | 1325 | 12 |
| 301 | 323 | 624 | 361 | 324 | 328 | 33 | 1346 | 12 |
| 303 | 302 | 605 | 335 | 359 | 317 | 319 | 1330 | 12 |
| 317 | 304 | 621 | 313 | 333 | 351 | 309 | 1306 | 12 |
| 312 | 318 | 630 | 316 | 311 | 325 | 342 | 1294 | 12 |
| 253 | 313 | 566 | 330 | 314 | 304 | 317 | 1265 | 12 |
| 298 | 254 | 552 | 325 | 328 | 307 | 296 | 1256 | 12 |
| 301 | 299 | 600 | 264 | 323 | 320 | 299 | 1206 | 12 |
| 317 | 302 | 619 | 310 | 262 | 316 | 312 | 1200 | 12 |
| 316 | 318 | 634 | 313 | 308 | 256 | 308 | 1185 | 12 |
| 285 | 317 | 602 | 330 | 311 | 301 | 249 | 1191 | 12 |

## Area Grade Configurations

In reviewing the Pittsburgh Business Times top ranked school districts, the K-5 span is the most prevalent, representing 7 of the 14 schools. In regard to the middle level span, 7 district employ a 6-8 span while 5 employ the 7-8 model.

## Area Grade Configurations

| District | Grade Spans |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upper St. Clair | K-4 | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | $9-12$ |
| Mount Lebanon | K-5 | $6-8$ | $9-12$ |  |
| North Allegheny | K-5 | $6-8$ | $9-10$ | $11-12$ |
| Hampton | K-5 | $6-8$ | $9-12$ |  |
| South Fayette | K-2 | $3-5$ | $6-8$ | $9-12$ |
| Fox Chapel | K-5 | $6-8$ | $9-12$ |  |
| Peters | K-3 | $4-6$ | $7-8$ | $9-12$ |
| Quaker Valley | K-5 | $6-8$ | $9-12$ |  |
| Franklin Regional | K-5 | $6-8$ | $9-12$ |  |
| Pine-Richland | K-3 | $4-6$ | $7-8$ | $9-12$ |
| Seneca Valley | K-4 | $5-6$ | $9-10$ | $11-12$ |
| Penn Trafford | K-5 | $6-8$ | $9-12$ |  |
| Bethel Park | K-4 | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | $9-12$ |
| Norwin | K-4 | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | $9-12$ |


| Span | Number of <br> Schools |
| :---: | :---: |
| K-2 | 1 |
| K-3 | 2 |
| K-4 | 4 |
| K-5 | 7 |
| K-6 | 0 |



## PBSD Assessment Data

$6^{\text {th }} \& 7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Reading

| Grade 6 Reading | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 44.3 | 34.4 | 17.9 | 3.4 |
| 2012 | 77.2 | 43.3 | 34.1 | 15 |
| 2011 | 81 | 51 | 30 | 13 |
| 2010 | 81 | 49 | 32 | 11 |
| Grade 7 Reading | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below |
| 2013 | 83.5 | 55.6 | 27.9 | 12.7 |
| 2012 | 85 | 53 | 32 | 11 |
| 2011 | 60 | 30 | 9 | 5 |
| 2010 | 51 | 35 | 9 | 4 |
|  |  |  | 9 | 4 |

$6^{\text {th }} \& 7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Math

| Grade 6 Math | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 55.3 | 33.3 | 8.2 | 3.1 |
| 2012 | 84 | 58 | 26 | 4 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 87 | 65 | 22 | 3 |
| 2010 | 86 | 62 | 24 | 6 |
| Grade 7 Math | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below |
| 2013 | 83.2 | 56.2 | 27 | 11.7 |
| 2012 | 86 | 57 | 29 | 10 |
| 2011 | 88 | 64 | 24 | 9 |
| 2010 | 59 | 27 | 11 | 4 |

## PVAAS Data

## Growth

~ Measures a student's growth across time; i.e., across years
~ Not related to a student's demographics
~ Compares student performance to his/her own prior performance

PLUM BOROUGH SCHOOL DISTRICT


## EXAMPLE PVAAS

Green Line is the Standard for PA



[^0]
## $+$ <br> GRADE 6 MATH PVAAS

Report: District Performance Diagnostic District: Plum Borough School District
2013
Test:
Subject:
PSSA Math
2VARN HOW TO USE THIS REPORT (Flash required)
Performance Dlagnostic Reports


|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | Standard for PA Academic Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2013 | Growth | 14.4 | 6.1 | 4.9 | $\underline{2.0}$ |
|  |  | Standard Error | 6.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  |  | \# of Students | 7 | $\underline{25}$ | 96 | 153 |
|  |  | $\%$ of Students | 2.5 | 8.9 | 34.2 | 54.4 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Standard Error |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \# of Students |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Growth is defined as averaoe oain.

## $+$ <br> GRADE 6 READING PVAAS



|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | Standard for PA Academic Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2013 | Growth | 16.1 | 6.6 | 2.2 | $\underline{-0.3}$ |
|  |  | Standard Error | 4.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
|  |  | \# of Students | $\underline{6}$ | 49 | 116 | 105 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 2.2 | 17.8 | 42.0 | 38.0 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Standard Error |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \# of Students |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## $+$ <br> GRADE 7 MATH PVAAS

## Roport: District Performance Diagnostic Te District: Plum Borough School District 2013 7th Grade <br> 2- LEARN HOW TO USE THIS REPORT (Flash required) Performance Dlagnostic Reports <br> 

|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Math | Standard for PA Academic Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2013 | Growth | 10.3 | 6.8 | -1.3 | $\underline{-3.7}$ |
|  |  | Standard Error | 3.6 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
|  |  | \# of Students | $\underline{13}$ | $\underline{27}$ | 76 | 183 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 4.3 | 9.0 | 25.4 | 61.2 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Standard Error |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \# of Students |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |




|  |  |  | Predicted Performance Level Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading | Standard for PA Academic Growth |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 2013 | Growth | $\underline{10.9}$ | 8.9 | 5.6 | $\underline{2.3}$ |
|  |  | Standard Error | 5.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  |  | \# of Students | $\underline{7}$ | 41 | 118 | 126 |
|  |  | \% of Students | 2.4 | 14.0 | 40.4 | 43.2 |
|  | Previous Years | Growth |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Standard Error |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \# of Students |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% of Students | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## MATH PVAAS

6th



## READING PVAAS

6th
7th



## Scenario 1 No Change

| Building | Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pivik | 636 |
| Center | 451 |
| HP | 700 |
| Regency | 238 |
| Adlai | ---- |
| Oblock | 623 |

This option would require a Building Renovation to Regency

## Scenario 2

4 Elementary Schools, K-5
1 Middle School, 6-8

| Building | Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pivik | 625 |
| Center | 300 |
| HP | 650 |
| Regeny | 152 |
| Adlai | ---- |
| Oblock | 921 |

> This option would require Building Renovations to Regency \& Oblock

Current Enrollment

## Scenario 3

3 Elementary Schools, K-5
1 Middle School, 6-8

| Building | Enrollment <br> w/ 4 <br> schools | Enrollment <br> w/ 3 <br> schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pivik | 625 | 586 |
| Center | 300 | 434 |
| HP | 650 | 707 |
| Regency | 152 | ---- |
| Oblock | 921 | 921 |

This option would require Building Renovations to Center \& Oblock

| Scenario | Elementary <br> Configuration | Oblock | Renovations | Costs |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario <br> 1 | 4 K-6 Schools | $7-8$ | Regency | $?$ |
| Scenario <br> 2 | 4 K-5 Schools | $6-8$ | Regency \& Oblock | $?$ |
| Scenario <br> 3 | 3 K-5 Schools | $6-8$ | Center \& Oblock | $?$ |


[^0]:    - 2013
    $\square$ Previous Years
    - Standard for PA Academic Growth
    - Standard Error

